

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,934	11/25/2003	Rita Bitzer	10537/171A	9401
26646 7:	590 12/08/2005	EXAMINER		INER
KENYON & KENYON			GREEN, ANTHONY J	
ONE BROAD' NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/723,934	BITZER ET AL.			
	omec Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAIL DIO DATE of this account of	Anthony J. Green	1755			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	9 October 2005.				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-10,12-17,27 and 31</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 2-10,12-17,27 and 31 is/are reject	ted.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🔲	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	(s)					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (	(PTO-413)			
2) U Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ No(s)/Mail Date	/08) 5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/723,934 Page 2

Art Unit: 1755

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to the amendment submitted on 19 October
 Claims 2-17, 27 and 31 are currently pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 2-10, 12-17, 27 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

NOTE: Claim 31 is now included in this rejection as applicant has shown that the claim is supported by the specification as originally filed.

Applicant argues that the claims are enabled as one of ordinary skill in the art would know how to make and/or use the invention without undue experimentation and that the size of roughness, average port diameter and score marks was known.

To these arguments the examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant's arguments are without merit since the information has not been included in the present specification. It is not clear as to who one of ordinary skill in the art is supposed to be.

Is applicant trying to say that the level of skill is one of ordinary skill in the braking art or in the lacquer art? The fact remains that this composition can be used in other venues besides braking systems as it is merely drawn to a corrosion protective lacquer (it is not limited to a composition specifically for protecting braking surfaces) and therefore the person of skill in the art other than the art of braking surfaces would require a great deal of experimentation to ascertain the grain size required. Applicant's arguments are based on unrecited comparisons. The specification provides no guidance as to the grain size of the protective substances used that are within the scope of the invention. Applicant is claiming a composition by properties based on its ultimate intended use (as in braking systems) which renders the claims not enabled as the exact grain size of the composition would depend on the average grain size of the particular braking system utilized and would require undue experimentation to determine the scope of the claim. One cannot determine what size is within the scope of the claim and therefore one cannot ascertain what grain size would infringe on the instant claim and accordingly an accurate comparison of the prior art with the instant claims cannot be performed.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 2-10, 12-17, 27 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the independent claims it is unclear as to the grain size encompassed by the

phrase "substantially equal to at least one of a maximum roughness, an average pore diameter and an average size of score marks".

Applicant argues that the claims are not indefinite as the size of roughness, average pore diameter and average score marks on a braking surface of a brake disk and brake drum was known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the filing of the application.

To this argument the examiner respectfully disagrees. The claim is drawn to a composition and is not limited to a braking surface composition and therefore one cannot ascertain the size encompassed by the claims. Applicant should also refer to the comments found in the 112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph rejection that appear above for a more detailed discussion.

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1755

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J.

Green whose telephone number is 571-272-1367. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1755

ajg November

November 30, 2005